PEER REVIEW POLICY

Every research manuscript submitted for publication to the Yearbook of the University of Insurance and Finance is checked for plagiarism, duplicate publication and text recycling after submission and before being sent for initial editor screening and peer review. The Yearbook of the University of Insurance and Finance operates a double-anonymous (double-blind) peer review process, which ensures the anonymity of the reviewers and authors.

The peer reviewers are asked to follow the Peer Review Policy of the Yearbook of the University of Insurance and Finance and the COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers when handling papers for the Journal.

Submission of the manuscript represents that

- the manuscript has not been published previously, is not considered for publication elsewhere and will not be submitted elsewhere unless it is rejected or withdrawn;
- the author is agreeing to any necessary originality checks the manuscript may have to undergo during the editorial review, peer review and production processes.

When a manuscript is first received, the editorial team makes an initial judgment about the suitability of the manuscript for the Yearbook of the University of Insurance and Finance. Manuscripts that do not fall within the scope of the journal, do not meet the basic submission requirements or are otherwise unsuitable for peer review may be rejected at this stage.

Each manuscript that passes the initial editorial assessment is normally sent to at least two independent reviewers with appropriate expertise. Reviews should normally be completed within 14 days, and the journal aims to complete the overall editorial and peer review process within four weeks.

When the review process is complete, the reviewers' and editors' comments are sent to the author together with the editorial decision. If revisions are requested, the authors should submit a revised manuscript together with a response to the reviewers' comments. Revised manuscripts may be returned to the original reviewers for further assessment.

The Editor-in-Chief or a designated editor makes the final publication decision. Editorial decisions are based on the scholarly quality, relevance, originality and ethical standards of the manuscript and are not affected by the origin or personal characteristics of the authors.

Articles commissioned for special themed/joint issues or submitted by the Editor-in-Chief, members of the editorial team or members of the Editorial Board are subject to the same initial assessment, originality checks and peer review requirements as all other submissions in accordance with the Conflict of Interest Policy of the journal. An editor with a conflict of interest does not participate in the editorial decision or reviewer selection for such a manuscript.